Amendment Dated: June 2, 2008

Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 10, 2008 Attorney Docket No. 095309.57215US

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-identified application are

respectfully requested. Claims 14-34 are now pending, wherein claims 14-23 and

27-32 are amended, and claims 33 and 34 are new.

Claims 19, 20 and 29-32 are objected to for minor informalities. These

claims are amended in the manner suggested in the Office Action. Accordingly,

withdrawal of these objections is respectfully requested.

Claims 14, 18-22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) for

obviousness in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,6,80,694 to Knockeart et al.

("Knockeart"). This ground of rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants' claims are directed to systems and methods of providing

messages between vehicles by way of a control center. The control center

manages road tolls and the vehicle sending the message includes a device that

determines road tolls. When a direction of travel indicator is actuated by a

vehicle, the communication device used for communicating toll information

automatically transmits a message to the control center. Based on the message

received from one or more vehicles, the control center can then provide particular

information to other vehicles. Thus, the present invention leverages a road tolls

system in vehicles and an associated control center to provide additional

information to other vehicles.

Page 8 of 12

Amendment Dated: June 2, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 10, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 095309.57215US

Turning now to claim 14, Knockeart does not render this claim obvious

because Knockeart does not disclose or suggest the following claim elements:

1. an apparatus in a first vehicle comprising a communication device

outputting said messages and said communication device including a unit

that determines road tolls:

2. an apparatus in a first vehicle comprising an activation device including a

direction-of-travel indicator operating element, said activation device

automatically transmitting said messages from the communication device

in response to actuation of the direction-of-travel indicator operating

element, said messages comprising at least information about the position

and speed of the first vehicle; and

3. a control center that controls and sends said messages from the

communication device to the at least one second vehicle, wherein said

control center manages road tolls.

Regarding the first and third elements identified above, the Office Action

relies upon the disclosure in column 29, lines 11-15 of Knockeart. This section of

Knockeart discusses that the server system can plan routes while accounting for

toll fees along the route. There is nothing in the cited section, or any other

section, of Knockeart disclosing or suggesting that a vehicle includes a unit that

determines road tolls. Thus, Knockeart does disclose or suggest the first element

set forth above.

Page 9 of 12

Amendment Dated: June 2, 2008

Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 10, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 095309 57215US

Moreover, there is no disclosure of suggestion that the server system of

Knockeart, which is disclosed as performing route planning functions, manages

road tolls. Instead, Knockeart merely discloses that the server system accounts

for road tolls during route planning. Thus, Knockeart does not disclose or

suggest the third element set forth above.

Regarding the second element set forth above, the Office Action cites

column 1, lines 47-51 of Knockeart, which discloses the use of a compass to

estimate direction of travel and a velocity sensor to estimate distance traveled.

There is nothing in this or any other section of Knockeart disclosing or

suggesting an activation device that automatically transmits messages from the

communication device in response to actuation of the direction-of-travel indicator

operating element. Thus, Knockeart does not disclose or suggest the second

element set forth above.

Because Knockeart does not disclose at least three elements of Applicants'

claim 14, Knockeart does not render this claim obvious. Claims 18-22 are

patentably distinguishable over Knockeart at least by virtue of their dependency

from claim 14.

Claim 24 recites similar elements to those discussed above with regard to

claim 14, and is patentably distinguishable over Knockeart for similar reasons.

For example, Knockeart does not disclose or suggest "automatically sending the

message from a unit in the first vehicle for determining road tolls to a control

Page 10 of 12

Amendment Dated: June 2, 2008

Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 10, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 095309.57215US

center which is configured to manage road tolls after the driver of the first

vehicle has activated a direction-of-travel indicator operating element." Claims

25 and 26 are patentably distinguishable at least by virtue of their dependency

from claim 24.

For at least those reasons set forth above, it is respectfully requested that

the rejection of claims 14, 18-22 and 24-26 for obviousness in view of Knockeart

be withdrawn.

Claims 15-17 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) for

obviousness in view of the combination of Knockeart and U.S. Patent No.

6.034,598 to Barry et al. ("Barry"). Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

for obviousness in view of the combination of Knockeart and U.S. Patent No.

6,823,258 to Ukai et al. ("Ukai"). These grounds of rejection are respectfully

traversed.

Claims 15-17, 23 and 27-32 variously depend from independent claim 14.

As discussed above, Knockeart does not disclose or suggest all of the elements of

claim 14. It is respectfully submitted that Barry and Ukai each do not remedy

the above-identified deficiencies of Knockeart. Accordingly, claims 15-17, 23 and

27-32 are patentably distinguishable over the current grounds of rejection at

least by virtue of their dependency from claim 14, and withdrawal of the

rejection of these claims is respectfully requested.

Page 11 of 12

Amendment Dated: June 2, 2008

Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 10, 2008 Attorney Docket No. 095309.57215US

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in

general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this

should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit

Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #095309.57215US).

Respectfully submitted,

June 2, 2008

Stephen W. Palan Registration No. 43,420

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group

P.O. Box 14300 Washington DC

Washington, DC 20044-4300 Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

SWP:crr 5863030